			_		
CERTIFICATE OF MAILING BY EXPRESS MAIL" (37 CFR 1.10)			Docket No.		
Applicant(s): DiJaili et al.			15436.247.40.1		
Application No. 10/020,558	Filing Date	Examiner Phillip Nguyen	Customer No.	Group Art Unit	
Invention: OPTICAL I	LOGICAL CIRCUITS B.	ASED ON LASING SEMICONDUCT	OR OPTICAL AN	APLIFIERS	
I hereby certify that th	he following corresponde	ence:			
Issue Fee Payment Tr	ansmittal Letter (1 pg., ir	n triplicate); PTOL 85B Fee Transmitt	al (1 pg., in duplic	ate):	
Comments on Stateme	ent of Reasons for Allowa	nce (1 pg.); PTO-2038 Credit Card Pa	yment Form in th		
\$1,400.00 (1 pg.); post		Express Mailing Label No. EV 462 384	227 US		
	·	dentify type of correspondence)			
is being deposited wi	th the United States Pos	stal Service "Express Mail Post Office	to Addressee" se	ervice under 37	
CFR 1.10 in an envel	lope addressed to: Com	missioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450	, Alexandria, VA	22313-1450 on	
	December 15, 2004				
`	(Date)				
		ERIC L. MA			
			(Typed or Printed Name of Person Mailing Correspondence)		
		ZINalff			
		(Signature of Person Mai	ling Correspondence)		
		EV 462 384 227 US			
		("Express Mail" Maili	ing Label Number)		
	Note: Each pap	er must have its own certificate of mailing.			





IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re application of:)
	DiJaili et al.)
Serial No:	10/020,558) Art Unit
Filed:	December 14, 2001) 2828
For:	OPTICAL LOGICAL CIRCUITS BASED ON LASING SEMICONDUCTOR OPTICAL AMPLIFIERS))
Examiner:	Phillip Nguyen)

COMMENTS ON STATEMENT OF REASONS FOR ALLOWANCE

Mail Stop Issue Fee Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Dear Sir:

Applicant respectfully disagrees with the Examiner's statement of reasons for allowance as set forth in the communication mailed on September 15, 2004. The Applicant concurs with the Examiner's conclusion that the prior art does not suggest or render obvious the claimed invention. However, Applicant submits that it is the claim as a whole, rather than any particular limitation, that makes each of the claims in the above-identified application allowable. No single limitation should be construed as the reason for allowance of a claim because it is each of the elements of the claim that distinguish the claim from the prior art and make it allowable.

Respectfully submitted,

Dated: December 15, 2004

By:

ERIC L. MASCHOFF Attorney for Applicant

Registration No. 36,596

WORKMAN NYDEGGER 1000 Eagle Gate Tower 60 East South Temple Salt Lake City, Utah 84111